

Modernizing Legacy Codes for Next-Generation Storage Infrastructures: A Case Study of PALM on Intel DAOS

Steffen Christgau and <u>Thomas Steinke</u> Zuse Institute Berlin (ZIB)

DAOS User Group, November 20, 2019




Motivation

- Evaluation of future scalable storage solutions for next-gen system architectures
 - Hardware: DCPMM + NVMe SSDs
 - Software: DAOS storage software stack

 DAOS with high-level libraries: netCDF, HDF5, ..., MPI (,POSIX)

Start with "simple" use case: Checkpointing



Source: A. Dilger DAOS: Scale-out Object Storage for NVRAM, Dagstuhl Workshop, May 2017



About PALM

Parallelized Large-Eddy Simulation Model

- Developed by IMUK @ Univ. Hannover
- Computes turbulent air-flows, solves incompressible Navier-Stokes equations
- 3D compute domain, typical dimensions $O(1k) \times O(1k) \times O(100)$
- Fortran 2003 (95) code base, GPL
 - since 1997, 200k+ lines of code, lots of modules
- MPI/OpenMP parallelization
- large memory footprint
- netCDF for data output





Checkpointing in PALM: Initial Version

- Motivation: save the application state when job reaches wall time limit
 ... multiple restarts in job chains
- Fortran unformatted I/O
- Checkpoint creation: write name and raw data to hard-coded unit

```
CALL wrd_write_string( 'topography' )
WRITE ( 14 ) topography
```

Restore: read name from other hard-coded unit + large select statement

```
SELECT CASE ( restart_string(1:length) ) ...
CASE ( 'topography' ) READ ( 13 ) topography
```



Checkpointing in PALM: Challenges

- Raw binary data: hard to postprocess (useful for debugging)
- Unformatted Fortran IO: "hidden" additional small writes
- One file per MPI process(!)
- Support of variable task/thread configuration
 - → PE-independence results in complicated restore code
- interface to DAOS? ... Via POSIX!?
- no abstraction
- no expressed parallelism



Which Data are Checkpointed?

- Not all application data are written to checkpoint file(s)
- Typical composition (simplified) for 4096 × 4096 × 256 compute domain

Туре	Count	Data
scalars	192	907 B
1D arrays	57	1.00 GB
2D arrays	21	1.25 GB
3D arrays	9	258.00 GB
4D arrays	1	806 KB
total	280	261 GB





New Checkpointing Implementation

Design a new checkpointing abstraction layer

```
!-- optional call to define variable
CALL checkpoint_define('topography', topography, dt_replicated)
CALL checkpoint_write('topography', topography, dt_replicated)
CALL checkpoint_read('topography', topography, dt_replicated)
```


- Enables to abstract from actual storage API
 - Remove Fortran unformatted IO
 - Use of generic functions
 - Replace storage backend with modern technologies without application changes



9

New Checkpointing Abstraction Layer

- Access target hardware via high-level libraries
 - ROMIO: implementation of MPI's IO chapter
 - netCDF: IO for named and typed arrays; self-described files, existing ecosystem
- Target hardware: NVRAM, via DAX or DAOS





Using NVRAM + DAOS

Motivation recap: use high level libraries to interface DAOS



- ❖ no interface to DAOS, maybe FUSE → not investigated
- use NVRAM via DAX-mounted file system



- interfaces DAOS' low level API
- ROMIO implementation from DAOS team, based on MPICH 3.3
- configure, make, make install and just run your MPI IO code
- (some intended limitations; do not apply to PALM)
- alternative: use DAX-mounted file system





- our assumption: just built netCDF on top of DAOS-aware HDF5
- HDF5 VOL plugin for DAOS is work in progress, available soon, netCDF likely to need adjustments (?)
- DAOS v0.6 was not usable via netCDF



Preliminary Results: DAOS Testbed

- Single node:
 - dual Xeon Platinum 8260L (CL-SP, 24C/48T)
 - ❖ 6 TB NVRAM (2 × 6 DCPMM) + 768 GB DRAM
 - CentOS 7, gcc/gfortran 9.1
 - ❖ 32 MPI procs, domain size = 4096 × 4096 × 256
- Mimics "DAOS on every compute node" scenario (vs. burst buffer-like setup)
- Compare backend stream, MPI on DAX FS, and MPI on top of DAOS







Experiences with DAOS vo.6

Installation smoothly with Scons, use "download dependencies" feature

Setup:

- currently cannot use all DCPMMs on NUMA system
- notice immutable notes
- Usage:
 - first_core option helpful

- Setup: sometimes confusing configuration:
 - Values for unimplemented features in examples
 - Leave defaults where unsure
 - Sufficient to define what contributes to pool
- Usage:
 - Permissions: required to use DAOS account to get access to pool



Summary

- Avoid raw (POSIX) IO, although it seems to be easy.
- Use established high-level libraries.
- DAOS is under heavy development! Expect some trouble, give to feedback developers!
- Early DAOS performance numbers promising but room for improvements.

Thank You!

Questions:

Steffen Christgau < christgau@zib.de>